

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
NEW ALBANY DIVISION**

IN RE:	)	Chapter 11
	)	
EASTERN LIVESTOCK CO., LLC,	)	Case No.: 10-93904-BHL-11
	)	
Debtor.	)	Hon. Basil H. Lorch, III
<hr/>	)	
FRIONA INDUSTRIES, L.P.,	)	
	)	Adv. Pro. No. 11-59093
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
EASTERN LIVESTOCK COMPANY, LLC, et al,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
<hr/>	)	

**MOTION TO APPROVE COMPROMISE OF CONTROVERSY  
BETWEEN EASTERN, FRIONA, CACTUS, J&F AND FIFTH THIRD**

James A. Knauer, the Chapter 11 Trustee appointed in this case ("Trustee"), by counsel, respectfully moves the Court to approve a compromise of controversy between Eastern Livestock Co., LLC ("Eastern" or "ELC"), Friona Industries, L.P. ("Friona"), Cactus Growers, Inc. ("Cactus"), J&F Oklahoma Holdings, Inc. ("J&F")<sup>1</sup> and Fifth Third Bank ("Fifth Third") , substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The proposed compromise and settlement (the "Settlement") resolves the claims of the Texas Feedyards over funds interpleaded in connection with Adversary Proceeding No. 11-59093 ("*Texas Interpleader*") as well as other controversies and claims involving the Texas Feedyards pending in this case ("Chapter 11 Case"). The proposed Settlement is a just and efficient means of settling the controversy between the parties. In support of the Motion, the Trustee states:

---

<sup>1</sup> Friona, Cactus, and J&F are referenced collectively hereafter as the "Texas Feedyards."

1. Certain petitioning creditors commenced the above-captioned Chapter 11 case against the Debtor on December 6, 2010 (the "Petition Date") by filing an involuntary petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Court entered an Order For Relief In An Involuntary Case And Order To Complete Filing [Dkt. No. 110] on December 28, 2010.

2. On December 27, 2010, the Court entered an Order Approving the Appointment of James A. Knauer as Chapter 11 Trustee [Dkt. No. 102] (the "Trustee Order") pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1104.

3. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and FED. R. BANKR. P. 9019.

## **BACKGROUND**

5. The Texas Feedyards own, or are associated with entities that own, cattle feedyards, many of which are located in Texas. They each purchased and received large numbers of cattle from Eastern on a regular basis. As the news of Eastern's business failure began to circulate during early November 2010, the Texas Feedyards began receiving demands from Eastern's suppliers and from others for the return of cattle and for transfers of sales proceeds. Each of the Texas Feedyards stopped payment to Eastern for deliveries, cancelled their open contracts with Eastern, and held the sales proceeds in abeyance in the hope that the problem would work itself out. After it became clear that Eastern's business had failed, but before the Chapter 11 Case was filed, the Texas Feedyards initiated the interpleader claims that are currently pending in the *Texas Interpleader*.

6. On November 11, 2010, Friona filed a Complaint in the Nature of an Interpleader, assigned Case No. 2:10-cv-00266-J, styled *Friona Industries, L.P. vs. Eastern Livestock Co.*,

*Inc., et al*, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Amarillo Division. On November 19, 2010, Cactus intervened and filed a Complaint in the Nature of an Interpleader. On November 30, 2010, J&F intervened and filed a Complaint in the Nature of an Interpleader. In connection with those claims, the Texas Feedyards interpleaded substantial funds which were subsequently transferred into the Court's registry. The current principal balance of the interpleader funds (the "Interpleader Funds") is \$7,218.995.65.

7. Each of the Texas Feedyards named ELC and Fifth Third Bank as defendants in the *Texas Interpleader*. Many other parties were joined or intervened, including cattle sellers who claimed rights to part of the Interpleader Funds because they delivered cattle to ELC without payment.

8. On February 4, 2011 Fifth Third filed Claim No. 13 in the Chapter 11 Case seeking recovery from a first position lien on all of ELC's receivables, inventory, and other assets.

9. The Texas Feedyards respectively filed Claim No. 237 (J&F), Claim No. 239 (apparently duplicated in the Claims Register also as Claim No. 240) (Friona), and Claim No. 248 (Cactus) based on prepetition losses they incurred based on the business failure of ELC. These parties claimed more than \$1,755,445 by way of recoupment and/or offset plus attorneys' fees under applicable interpleader statutes or rules.<sup>2</sup>

10. The Trustee, Texas Feedyards, Fifth Third, and other parties participated in a Court-authorized two-day mediation session on October 9 and October 10, 2012 in Louisville, Kentucky. As a result of that mediation and further negotiations, they have agreed to settle their disputes as more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Settlement Agreement").

---

<sup>2</sup> Friona seeks \$784,915.62. Cactus seeks \$641,053.22. J&F seeks \$329,477.08.

11. Trustee believes the proposed compromise as set forth in the Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of Debtor's estate and Debtor's creditors.

## BRIEF IN SUPPORT

### A. **The Settlement Is Fair and in the Best Interests of the Debtors' Estates and Should Be Authorized Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a).**

12. Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes a bankruptcy court, on motion by a trustee and after appropriate notice and a hearing, to approve a compromise or settlement so long as the proposed compromise or settlement is fair and equitable and in the best interest of the estate. See Protective Comm. for Indep. Stockholders of TMT Trailer Ferry, Inc. v. Anderson, 390 U.S. 414, 424 (1968); Depoister v. Mary M. Holloway Found., 36 F.3d 582, 586 (7th Cir. 1994) ("In conducting a hearing under Rule 9019(a), the bankruptcy court is to determine whether the proposed compromise is fair and equitable and in the best interests of the bankruptcy estate.") (internal citations omitted); In re Andreuccetti, 975 F.2d 413, 421 (7th Cir. 1992) (Bankruptcy Rule 9019(a) authorizes the court to approve a settlement if "the settlement is in the best interests of the estate."); In re Energy Co-op, Inc., 886 F.2d 921, 927 (7th Cir. 1989) ("[t]he benchmark for determining the propriety of a bankruptcy settlement is whether the settlement is in the best interests of the estate.").

13. Compromises are tools for expediting the administration of the case and reducing administrative costs and are favored in bankruptcy. See Fogel v. Zell, 221 F.3d 955, 960 (7th Cir. 2000) ("Judges naturally prefer to settle complex litigation than to see it litigated to the hilt, especially when it is litigation in a bankruptcy proceeding — the expenses of administering the bankruptcy often consume most or even all of the bankrupt's assets."); In re Martin, 91 F.3d 389, 393 (3d Cir. 1996) ("To minimize litigation and expedite the administration of a bankruptcy estate, compromises are favored in bankruptcy").

14. It is well-settled that a proposed settlement need not be the best result that the debtor could have achieved, but only must fall "within the reasonable range of litigation possibilities." Energy Co-op, 886 F.2d at 929.

15. As further guidance, the Seventh Circuit has offered the following guidelines:

Central to the bankruptcy judge's determination is a comparison of the settlement's terms with the litigation's probable costs and probable benefits. Among the factors the bankruptcy judge should consider in [the] analysis are the litigation's probability of success, the litigation's complexity, and the litigation's attendant expense, inconvenience, and delay.

LaSalle Nat'l Bank v. Holland (In re Am. Reserve Corp.), 841 F.2d 159, 161 (7th Cir. 1987) (citations omitted).

16. The Settlement, negotiated at arm's length and in good faith, achieves a result that is in the best interest of the Debtor and its estate. As set forth more specifically in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement resolves material components of pending litigation, resolves disputes and except for the Reserved Claims(as defined in the Settlement Agreement), provides for a mutual release of claims between each of the Texas Feedyards, Fifth Third, the Debtor, Trustee, and the Trustee's professionals.

17. The Trustee anticipates that the proposed settlement will not affect the final resolution of any of the claims still pending in the *Texas Interpleader*. The current balance of the Interpleader Funds (\$7,218.995.65) vastly exceeds the Settlement Amounts to be paid to the Texas Feedyards pursuant to Section 1 of the Settlement Agreement. The compromise of these claims along with other settlements the Trustee has negotiated with Superior Livestock Auction, Inc. and other parties has dramatically reduced the amounts still in controversy in the adversary proceeding.

18. For these reasons, the Settlement maximizes the value of the Debtor's assets and minimizes the burden to its estate. The Settlement should be approved pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

**NOTICE**

19. The Trustee will provide notice of this Motion pursuant to FED. R. BANK. P. 2002(a)(3) or as otherwise directed by this Court. The Notice shall include a definitive time in which any party claiming an interest in the funds to be distributed to First Bank and to the Trustee pursuant to the Settlement will be required to file and serve an objection stating with specificity its objection and the basis for asserting a claim.

**NO PRIOR REQUEST**

20. No prior motion for the relief requested herein has been made to the Court in this case.

**CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Trustee respectfully request that this Court enter an order approving the proposed compromise, as it is in the best interests of the Debtor and the estate.

Respectfully submitted,

FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS, LLP

By: /s/ Kevin M. Toner

James M. Carr (#3128-49)  
Kevin Toner (#11343-49)  
Terry E. Hall (#22041-49)  
Harmony Mappes (# 27237-49)  
Dustin R. DeNeal (#27535-49)  
300 N. Meridian Street, Suite 2700  
Indianapolis, IN 46204-1782  
Telephone: (317) 237-0300  
Facsimile: (317) 237-1000  
[jim.carr@faegrebd.com](mailto:jim.carr@faegrebd.com)  
[kevin.toner@faegrebd.com](mailto:kevin.toner@faegrebd.com)  
[terry.hall@faegrebd.com](mailto:terry.hall@faegrebd.com)  
[harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com](mailto:harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com)  
[dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com](mailto:dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com)

*Counsel for James A. Knauer, Chapter 11 Trustee*

Wendy W. Ponader (#14633-49)  
600 East 96th Street, Suite 600  
Indianapolis, IN 46240  
Telephone: (317) 569-9600  
Facsimile: (317) 569-4800  
[wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com](mailto:wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com)

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 14, 2012, a copy of the foregoing pleading was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent to the following parties through the Court's Electronic Case Filing System. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

David L. Abt <a href="mailto:davidabt@mwt.net">davidabt@mwt.net</a>	C. R. Bowles, Jr <a href="mailto:cbowles@bgdlegal.com">cbowles@bgdlegal.com</a>	John Hunt Lovell <a href="mailto:john@lovell-law.net">john@lovell-law.net</a>
Mark A. Robinson <a href="mailto:mrobinson@vhrlaw.com">mrobinson@vhrlaw.com</a>	Jeffrey R. Erler <a href="mailto:jeffe@bellnunnally.com">jeffe@bellnunnally.com</a>	Edward M King <a href="mailto:tking@fbtlaw.com">tking@fbtlaw.com</a>
Randall D. LaTour <a href="mailto:rdlatour@vorys.com">rdlatour@vorys.com</a>	John R. Carr, III <a href="mailto:jrciii@acs-law.com">jrciii@acs-law.com</a>	Bret S. Clement <a href="mailto:bclement@acs-law.com">bclement@acs-law.com</a>
Daniel J. Donnellon <a href="mailto:ddonnellan@fclaw.com">ddonnellan@fclaw.com</a>	Stephen A. Weigand <a href="mailto:sweigand@fclaw.com">sweigand@fclaw.com</a>	John Frederick Massouh <a href="mailto:john.massouh@sprouselaw.com">john.massouh@sprouselaw.com</a>
John W. Ames <a href="mailto:james@bgdlegal.com">james@bgdlegal.com</a>	Robert Hughes Foree <a href="mailto:robertforee@bellsouth.net">robertforee@bellsouth.net</a>	Kim Martin Lewis <a href="mailto:kim.lewis@dinslaw.com">kim.lewis@dinslaw.com</a>
Jeremy S Rogers <a href="mailto:Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com">Jeremy.Rogers@dinslaw.com</a>	Ivana B. Shallcross <a href="mailto:ishallcross@bgdlegal.com">ishallcross@bgdlegal.com</a>	Deborah Caruso <a href="mailto:dcaruso@daleeke.com">dcaruso@daleeke.com</a>

Meredith R. Thomas mthomas@daleeke.com	William Robert Meyer, II rmeyer@stites.com	Allen Morris amorris@stites.com
Charles R. Wharton Charles.R.Wharton@usdoj.gov	James Bryan Johnston bjtexas59@hotmail.com	James T. Young james@rubin-levin.net
David L. LeBas dlebas@namanhowell.com	Judy Hamilton Morse judy.morse@crowedunlevy.com	John M. Thompson john.thompson@crowedunlevy.com
Jessica E. Yates jyates@swlaw.com	John Huffaker john.huffaker@sprouselaw.com	Matthew J. Ochs kim.maynes@moyewhite.com
Laura Day Delcotto ldelcotto@dlgfirm.com	Kelly Greene McConnell lisahughes@givenspursley.com	T. Kent Barber kbarber@dlgfirm.com
Ross A. Plourde ross.plourde@mcafeetaft.com	Walter Scott Newbern wsnewbern@msn.com	Kirk Crutcher kcrutcher@mcs-law.com
Todd J. Johnston tjohnston@mcjllp.com	Timothy T. Pridmore tpridmore@mcjllp.com	Theodore A Konstantopoulos ndohbk@jbandr.com
Karen L. Lobring lobring@msn.com	Sandra D. Freeburger sfreeburger@dsf-atty.com	Lisa Koch Bryant courtmail@fbhaw.net
Elliott D. Levin edl@rubin-levin.net	John M. Rogers johnr@rubin-levin.net	John David Hoover jdhoover@hooverhull.com
Sean T. White swhite@hooverhull.com	Jay P. Kennedy jpk@kgrlaw.com	John R. Burns john.burns@faegrebd.com
Michael W. McClain mike@kentuckytrial.com	William E Smith wsmith@k-glaw.com	Kayla D. Britton kayla.britton@faegrebd.com
James Edwin McGhee mcghee@derbycitylaw.com	Thomas C Scherer tscherer@bgdlegal.com	David A. Laird david.laird@moyewhite.com
Jerald I. Ancel jancel@taftlaw.com	Jeffrey J. Graham jgraham@taftlaw.com	Trevor L. Earl tearl@rwsvlaw.com
David Alan Domina dad@dominalaw.com	Kent A Britt kabritt@vorys.com	Joshua N. Stine kabritt@vorys.com
Jill Zengler Julian Jill.Julian@usdoj.gov	Jeffrey L Hunter jeff.hunter@usdoj.gov	Amelia Martin Adams aadams@dlgfirm.com
Michael Wayne Oyler moyer@rwsvlaw.com	Jason W. Cottrell jwc@stuartlaw.com	Robert A. Bell rabell@vorys.com
James E. Rossow jim@rubin-levin.net	James B. Lind jblind@vorys.com	Melissa S. Giberson msgiberson@vorys.com
Steven A. Brehm sbrehm@ bgdlegal.com	Anthony G. Raluy traluy@fbhaw.net	U.S. Trustee ustpregion10.in.ecf@usdoj.gov
James M. Carr james.carr@faegrebd.com	Jack S. Dawson jdawson@millerdollarhide.com	Dustin R. DeNeal dustin.deneal@faegrebd.com
Shawna M. Eikenberry shawna.eikenberry@faegrebd.com	Terry E. Hall terry.hall@faegrebd.com	Jay Jaffe jay.jaffe@faegrebd.com
James A. Knauer jak@kgrlaw.com	Erick P. Knoblock eknoblock@daleeke.com	Harmony A. Mappes harmony.mappes@faegrebd.com
Christie A. Moore cm@gdm.com	Shiv Ghuman O'Neill shiv.oneill@faegrebd.com	Wendy W. Ponader wendy.ponader@faegrebd.com
Peter M. Gannott pgannott@gannottlaw.com	Eric C. Redman ksmith@redmanludwig.com	Joe T. Roberts jratty@windstream.net
Joseph H. Rogers jrogers@millerdollarhide.com	James E. Smith jsmith@smithakins.com	Robert K. Stanley robert.stanley@faegrebd.com

Andrew D. Stosberg  
astosberg@lloydmc.com

Kevin M. Toner  
kevin.toner@faegrebd.com

Andrea L. Wasson  
andrea@wassonthornhill.com

Christopher M. Trapp  
ctrapp@rubin-levin.net

Eric W. Richardson  
ewrichardson@vorys.com

Joshua Elliott Clubb  
joshclubb@gmail.com

Jennifer Watt  
jwatt@kgrlaw.com

I further certify that on November 14, 2012, a copy of the foregoing pleading was served via electronic mail transmission on the following:

Ashley S. Rusher  
asr@blancolaw.com

Darla J. Gabbitas  
darla.gabbitas@moyewhite.com

/s/ Kevin M. Toner